

JS 44 (Rev. 10/20) The JS 44 civil cover sheet and the information contained herein neither replace nor supplement the filing and service of pleadings or other papers as required by law, except as provided by local rules of court. This form, approved by the Judicial Conference of the United States in September 1974, is required for the use of the Clerk of Court for the purpose of initiating the civil docket sheet. (SEE INSTRUCTIONS ON NEXT PAGE OF THIS FORM.) DEFENDANTS I. (a) PLAINTIFFS Natisha Smith Interim Health Care of Lower Montgomery County, et al. (b) County of Residence of First Listed Plaintiff New Castle, DE County of Residence of First Listed Defendant Montgomery (IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES ONLY) (EXCEPT IN U.S. PLAINTIFF CASES) IN LAND CONDEMNATION CASES, USE THE LOCATION OF THE TRACT OF LAND INVOLVED. (c) Attorneys (Firm Name, Address, and Telephone Number) Attorneys (If Known) Steven Auerbach Esq., 822 Montgomery Ave, Suite 210, Christopher R. Booth, Jr., Esq. 1835 Market Street, Suite Narbeth, PA 19072 215-964-4410 2950, Phila, PA 19103 215-518-6683 III. CITIZENSHIP OF PRINCIPAL PARTIES (Place an "X" in One Box for Plaintiff II. BASIS OF JURISDICTION (Place an "X" in One Box Only) and One Box for Defendant) (For Diversity Cases Only) DEF PTF 1 U.S. Government x 3 Federal Question (U.S. Government Not a Party) Citizen of This State \square_1 Incorporated or Principal Place 4 4 Plaintiff of Business In This State 4 Diversity Citizen of Another State 2 Incorporated and Principal Place 5 2 U.S. Government (Indicate Citizenship of Parties in Item III) of Business In Another State Defendant 3 Foreign Nation 6 Citizen or Subject of a Foreign Country Click here for: Nature of Suit Code Descriptions IV. NATURE OF SUIT (Place an "X" in One Box Only) OTHER STATUTES FORFEITURE/PENALTY BANKRUPTCY CONTRACT 422 Appeal 28 USC 158 375 False Claims Act 110 Insurance PERSONAL INJURY PERSONAL INJURY 625 Drug Related Seizure 376 Qui Tam (31 USC 423 Withdrawal 365 Personal Injury of Property 21 USC 881 120 Marine 310 Airplane 3729(a)) 690 Other 28 USC 157 Product Liability 130 Miller Act 315 Airplane Product 400 State Reapportionment 367 Health Care/ 140 Negotiable Instrument Liability 150 Recovery of Overpayment 320 Assault, Libel & Pharmaceutical PROPERTY RIGHTS 410 Antitrust 430 Banks and Banking 820 Copyrights Personal Injury Slander & Enforcement of Judgmen 330 Federal Employers Product Liability 830 Patent 450 Commerce 151 Medicare Act 152 Recovery of Defaulted Liability 368 Asbestos Personal 835 Patent - Abbreviated 460 Deportation 470 Racketeer Influenced and 340 Marine Injury Product New Drug Application Student Loans Corrupt Organizations 345 Marine Product Liability 840 Trademark (Excludes Veterans) 880 Defend Trade Secrets 480 Consumer Credit 153 Recovery of Overpayment PERSONAL PROPERTY LABOR Liability Act of 2016 (15 USC 1681 or 1692) 350 Motor Vehicle 370 Other Fraud 710 Fair Labor Standards of Veteran's Benefits 485 Telephone Consumer 160 Stockholders' Suits 355 Motor Vehicle 371 Truth in Lending Act Protection Act 720 Labor/Management SOCIAL SECURITY 190 Other Contract Product Liability 380 Other Personal 490 Cable/Sat TV 861 HIA (1395ff) 195 Contract Product Liability 360 Other Personal Property Damage Relations 862 Black Lung (923) 850 Securities/Commodities/ 385 Property Damage 740 Railway Labor Act 196 Franchise Injury Exchange 362 Personal Injury -Product Liability 751 Family and Medical 863 DIWC/DIWW (405(g)) 890 Other Statutory Actions Medical Malpractice Leave Act 864 SSID Title XVI 891 Agricultural Acts PRISONER PETITIONS 790 Other Labor Litigation 865 RSI (405(g)) REAL PROPERTY CIVIL RIGHTS 893 Environmental Matters 791 Employee Retirement 210 Land Condemnation 440 Other Civil Rights Habeas Corpus: 895 Freedom of Information FEDERAL TAX SUITS 220 Foreclosure 441 Voting 463 Alien Detainee Income Security Act 510 Motions to Vacate 870 Taxes (U.S. Plaintiff Act 442 Employment 230 Rent Lease & Ejectment 896 Arbitration or Defendant) 443 Housing/ Sentence 240 Torts to Land 899 Administrative Procedure Accommodations 871 IRS-Third Party 245 Tort Product Liability 530 General Act/Review or Appeal of IMMIGRATION 26 USC 7609 445 Amer. w/Disabilities 535 Death Penalty 290 All Other Real Property Agency Decision 462 Naturalization Application Employment Other: 950 Constitutionality of 540 Mandamus & Other 465 Other Immigration 446 Amer, w/Disabilities 550 Civil Rights Actions State Statutes Other 555 Prison Condition 448 Education 560 Civil Detainee -Conditions of Confinement V. ORIGIN (Place an "X" in One Box Only) 4 Reinstated or 5 Transferred from 6 Multidistrict 8 Multidistrict 2 Removed from Remanded from Original 3 Litigation -Another District Litigation -Proceeding State Court Appellate Court Reopened Direct File Transfer (specify) Cite the U.S. Civil Statute under which you are filing (Do not cite jurisdictional statutes unless diversity): 42 U.S.C. sec 1981 VI. CAUSE OF ACTION Brief description of cause: Employment discrimination CHECK YES only if demanded in complaint: **DEMAND \$** VII. REQUESTED IN CHECK IF THIS IS A CLASS ACTION UNDER RULE 23, F.R.Cv.P. JURY DEMAND: x Yes **COMPLAINT:** VIII. RELATED CASE(S) (See instructions): DOCKET NUMBER

IF ANY

AMOUNT

HJDGE ATTORNEY OF RECORD

FOR OFFICE USE ONLY

RECEIPT #

DATE 4/07/2021

APPLYING IFF

MAG. JUDGE

JUDGE

Case 2:21-cv-01655 Document 1 Filed 04/07/21 Page 2 of 8

FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF PENNSYLVANIA

DESIGNATION FORM

(to be used by counsel or pro se plaintiff to indicate the category of the case for the purpose of assignment to the appropriate calendar)

Address of Plaintiff: 23 Auburn Drive, New Castle, DE 19720
540 Barrandaria Avanua Cuita 101 Et Washington BA 10034
F40 Pennsylvenia Avenue Suite 101 Et Washington PA 1903/
Place of Accident, Incident or Transaction:540 Perinsylvaria Avenue, Suite 101, Pt. Washington, PA 19054
RELATED CASE, IF ANY:
Case Number: Judge: Date Terminated:
Civil cases are deemed related when Yes is answered to any of the following questions:
1. Is this case related to property included in an earlier numbered suit pending or within one year Yes No V
2. Does this case involve the same issue of fact or grow out of the same transaction as a prior suit yes No
3. Does this case involve the validity or infringement of a patent already in suit or any earlier numbered case pending or within one year previously terminated action of this court?
4. Is this case a second or successive habeas corpus, social security appeal, or pro se civil rights Yes No
I certify that, to my knowledge, the within case this court except as noted above. DATE: 04/07/2021
CIVIL: (Place a √ in one category only) **Replace A vision Cases: **
CIVIL: (Place a \sqrt{in one category only)} A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 1. Insurance Contract and Other Contracts 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4. Marine Personal Injury 5. Patent 5. Motor Vehicle Personal Injury 6. Other Personal Injury (Please specify): 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability 8. Habeas Corpus 8. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Federal Question Cases (Please specify): 1. ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION
A. Federal Question Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts
A. Federal Question Cases: B. Diversity Jurisdiction Cases: 1. Indemnity Contract, Marine Contract, and All Other Contracts 2. FELA 2. Airplane Personal Injury 3. Assault, Defamation 4. Antitrust 4. Antitrust 5. Patent 5. Patent 6. Labor-Management Relations 7. Civil Rights 7. Products Liability - Asbestos 9. Securities Act(s) Cases 9. All other Federal Question Cases 9. All other Federal Question Cases 10. Social Security Review Cases 11. All other Federal Question Cases Please specify): ARBITRATION CERTIFICATION Christopher R. Booth, Jr. Counsel of record or prose plaintiff, do hereby certify: Pursuant to Local Civil Rule 53.2, § 3(c) (2), that to the best of my knowledge and belief, the damages recoverable in this civil action case exceed the sum of \$150,000.00 exclusive of interest and costs: Relief other than monetary damages is sought.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE EASTERN DISTRIC OF PENNSYLVANIA

NATISHA SMITH,

Plaintiff,

v. : No.:

INTERIM HEALTH CARE OF LOWER MONTGOMERY COUNTY *et al.*,

Defendants.

COMPLAINT

Plaintiff, Natisha Smith, by her undersigned counsel, hereby avers as follows:

JURISDICTION

- This is an employment discrimination case brought pursuant to the Civil Rights Act of 1866, 42 U.S.C. §1981, as amended by the Civil Rights Act of 1991 ("Section 1981").
 The plaintiff, Natisha Smith, alleges that each of the defendants engaged in a pattern and practice of intentional employment discrimination and retaliation on the basis of race against Plaintiff.
- 2. The causes of action which form the basis of this matter arise under Section 1981.
- 3. The District Court has jurisdiction over Count I (Section 1981) pursuant to 28 U.S.C. §§ 1331 and 1343 (a)(4).
 - 4. Venue is proper in the District Court under 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b) and (c).
 - 5. Defendants are located within this District.

THE PARTIES

6. Plaintiff, Natisha Smith is an adult African-American female individual with an address located within the State of Delaware.

- 7. Defendant, INTERIM HEALTH CARE OF LOWER MONTGOMERY COUNTY (Defendant "Interim") is a corporation authorized to conduct business within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with an address located at 540 Pennsylvania Avenue, Fort Washington, PA 19034.
- Defendant, PJK HEALTHCARE, INC. (Defendant "PJK") is a corporation authorized to conduct business within the Commonwealth of Pennsylvania, with an address located at 540 Pennsylvania Avenue, Fort Washington, PA 19034.
- Defendant, Justin Pak, is the owner of Defendant PJK, with an address located at 540
 Pennsylvania Avenue, Fort Washington, PA 19034.

FACTUAL ALLEGATIONS

- 10. Around July 2015, Plaintiff was hired for the position of Program Director.
- 11. Around 2016, Plaintiff was promoted to manager and director over the nursing and office staff.
- 12. Around July 2017, PJK was sold to Defendant, Justin Pak, and the company began to operate under the name of Interim.
- 13. Also, Around July 1, 2017, PJK and Plaintiff entered into an Employment Agreement which set forth the terms of Plaintiff's employment and compensation in her position as Program Director with PJK.
- 14. Shortly after Plaintiff was hired by Mr. Pak for her previously held position under previous management and ownership, Mr. Pak began to make racially insensitive and inappropriate comments about Ms. Smith's hair.
- 15. Specifically, on several occasions, Mr. Pak made comments such as "why do you people put those things (braids) in your hair?", asked if her hair was real, inappropriately

- touched her hair and, stated that he had "worked down at Cecil B. Moore" and said "black women down there were always fighting, had nappy hair and sometimes it was flying around."
- 16. Further, Mr. Pak asked why Plaintiff wears her hair differently so often.
- 17. Mr. Pak's comments demonstrated a clear insensitivity and intolerance to diversity in the workplace.
- 18. Plaintiff objected to Mr. Pak's comments and it was clear that he did not take her objections seriously.
- 19. Further, Plaintiff complained to Mr. Pak, John Matta (a senior Vice President at PJK), and the prior owner, Peter Kirsche, that the company had a policy and/or practice of excluding black nursing aides from servicing clients in predominantly white and/or affluent neighborhoods.
- 20. In response to Plaintiff's report of this illegal practice, Mr. Pak stated, "I'm not racist, but I would like the white aides to be in the more prestigious neighborhoods."
- 21. Plaintiff objected to this racist practice (as well as accommodating the racist requests of clients) and informed Mr. Pak that "You can't do that. You can't segregate the aides.
 Some of our best aides are black."
- 22. In response, Mr. Pak and John Matta laughed at Plaintiff's serious objections about the company's racist policies and procedures.
- 23. Lastly, Plaintiff objected to and informed PJK of the financial abuse some of the company's aides were engaging in with the company's elder clients.

- 24. Some of PJK's white aides had known criminal felonies yet Mr. Pak refused to acknowledge the risks and reports from entities such as Mercy Health regarding the alleged elder financial abuse.
- 25. On the other hand, Mr. Pak refused to hire certain black male aide candidates and subjected them to a more extensive and intrusive hiring process than the other white aide candidates.
- 26. Plaintiff objected to this discriminatory practice.
- 27. Defendants at all times failed to investigate or take appropriate remedial action with respect to Plaintiff's claims of unlawful discrimination and harassment.
- 28. As a result, and in retaliation of her complaints as set forth above, Plaintiff was terminated on October 5, 2017.
- 29. Defendants at all times refused to investigate or take appropriate remedial action with respect to Plaintiff's complaints of harassment and discrimination.
- 30. As a result of Defendants' actions, Plaintiff felt extremely humiliated, degraded, victimized, embarrassed and emotionally distressed.
- 31. As a result of the acts and conduct complained of herein, Plaintiff has suffered and will continue to suffer the loss of income, the loss of salary, bonuses, benefits and other compensation which such employment entails, and Plaintiff also suffered future pecuniary losses, emotional pain, humiliation, suffering, inconvenience, loss of enjoyment of life, and other non-pecuniary losses. Plaintiff has further experienced severe emotional and physical distress.

- 32. As Defendants' conduct has been malicious, willful, outrageous, and conducted with full knowledge of the law, Plaintiff demands punitive damages as against all the Defendants, jointly and severally.
- 33. Defendants have exhibited a pattern and practice of not only discrimination but also retaliation.
- 34. The above are just some examples, of some of the discrimination to which Defendants subjected Plaintiff.

COUNT I <u>SECTION 1981</u> (Discrimination and Retaliation)

- 35. Plaintiff repeats and realleges each and every allegation made in the above paragraphs of this Complaint.
- 36. By committing the foregoing acts of racial discrimination, Defendants denied Plaintiff the right to "make and enforce contracts, to sue, be parties, [and] give evidence" and to be subject to "like punishment, pains, penalties, … and exactions of every kind," as is "enjoyed by white citizens" in violation of Section 1981.
 - 37. Said violations were done with malice and/or reckless indifference.
- 38. As a direct and proximate result of Defendant's violation of Section 1981, Plaintiff has suffered the damages and losses set forth herein and has incurred attorneys' fees and costs.
- 39. Plaintiff is now suffering and will continue to suffer irreparable injury and monetary damages as a result of Defendant's discriminatory and retaliatory acts unless and until this Court grants the relief requested herein.
 - 40. No previous application has been made for the relief requested herein.

Case 2:21-cv-01655 Document 1 Filed 04/07/21 Page 8 of 8

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, Plaintiff demands judgment against Defendants, jointly and severally, in an amount to be determined at the time of trial plus interest, including but not limited to all emotional distress and economic damages, punitive damages, liquidated damages, attorneys' fees, costs, and disbursements of action; and for such other relief as the Court deems just and proper.

DEREK SMITH LAW GROUP, PLLC

Attorneys for Plaintiff, Natisha Smith

By:

Christopher R. Booth, Jr., Esq.

christopher adereksmithlaw.com 1835 Market Street, Suite 2950

Philadelphia, Pennsylvania 19103

(215) 391-4790

Dated: April 7, 2021